



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,647	10/29/2003	Espen Hauge	43315-201405	4080
23517 75	90 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
SWIDLER BI	ERLIN LLP		THOMPSON,	KENNETH L
3000 K STREE	T, NW	•		
BOX IP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		3672	.,.

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/695,647	HAUGE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth Thompson	3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 17   apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 17   cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	nuary 2006.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-11 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 4,5 and 12-16 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2006 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Apphoanon (1 10-102)			

Application/Control Number: 10/695,647

Art Unit: 3672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 1-7, 12 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In the method claims steps such as "fluid is selectively conducted", "in order to be subjected", "separators are selected", etc. should be changed to gerunds or verbal nouns.

The recitation "the emulsion-breaking unit" in claim 12, line 2 should be changed to "an emulsion-breaking unit". Claim 15 has a similar recitation.

The recitation "the subsea system" in claim 20 lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-10 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schubert et al., U.S. 5,302,294.

Regarding claims 1-3 and 8-10, Schubert et al. discloses in figure 1 multiphase fluid from a well (18) selectively conducted to a first (14) gravity separator for gravitational settling and further to a second (16) gravity separator for gravitational settling in subsequent steps; wherein three gravity separators (12,14,16) are connected by means (28,34,46,59) potentially pairing the first two.

As to claim 6, Schubert et al. discloses subjecting the fluid to gas removal treatment (at 12) prior to gravitational settling in the gravity separators (14,16).

As to claim 7, Schubert et al. discloses the multiphase fluid being oil and water (col. 4, lines 5-9) and the product from the first gravity separator (14) is the richest obtained at that point since oil export is the product of the second (16).

As to claims 18-20, Schubert et al. discloses an upstream gas separator (12) located offshore (col. 1, lines 35-41).

Claims 8, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meyers et al., U.S. 2773,556.

Regarding claims 8 and 11, Meyers et all discloses a parallel first and second gravity separators (40, 41; col. 1, lines 63-64), means (17) for selectively conducting the fluid thereto and an emulsion-breaking unit (43) arranged in series with the separators.

As to claim 17, Meyers et al. discloses the valved conduits (51,56) leading to an emulsion breaking unit (43).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 5 and 12-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 13 January 2006 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

However Applicant's should note the limitations requiring selective alternative actions is met by showing selection of either; whereas selective inclusive actions require both. Changing "or" to "and" following "selectively" may positively affect patentability.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Thompson whose telephone number is 571 272-7037. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,647

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

28 March 2006

Kenneth Thompson **Primary Examiner** 

Page 5

Art Unit 3672